arXiv:1408.2189v2 [hep-th] 3 Dec 2014

KUNS-2509

A new coordinate system for q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ and classical string solutions

Takashi Kameyama[1] and Kentaroh Yoshida[2]

Department of Physics, Kyoto University,
Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Abstract

We study a GKP-like classical string solution on a q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ background and argue the spacetime structure by using it as a probe. The solution cannot stretch beyond the singularity surface and this result may suggest that the holographic relation is realized inside the singularity surface. This observation leads us to introduce a new coordinate system which describes the spacetime only inside the singularity surface. With the new coordinate system, we study minimal surfaces and derive a deformed Neumann-Rosochatius system with a rigid string ansatz.

[1]E-mail: kame@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp
[2]E-mail: kyoshida@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

1 Introduction

The AdS/CFT correspondence [1] is one of the central subjects in string theory. The most well-studied example is the duality between type IIB string theory on $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ background and $\mathcal{N}=4$ $SU(N)$ super Yang-Mills theory in four dimensions. A remarkable feature of this duality is integrability [2] and many significant properties have been revealed based on exact computations. Hence this duality is considered to play a role like 'harmonic oscillators' in studies of fascinating relations between gauge theories and gravitational theories (string theories).

From a point of view of string theory, the integrability is closely related to the integrable structure of non-linear sigma models in two dimensions. For type IIB superstring theory defined on $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ (often called the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring), the classical action is constructed based on a supersymmetric coset [3],

$$\frac{PSU(2,2|4)}{SO(1,4)\times SO(5)}\,.$$

It enjoys the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-grading and the classical integrability is shown by Bena-Polchinski-Roiban [4][1]. Possible supercosets, which lead to consistent string backgrounds, are classified in [7].

It is interesting to consider integrable deformations of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring. It opens up a new perspective on the integrable structure of the gauge/gravity correspondence beyond the usual AdS/CFT case. A good way along this direction is to follow the Yang-Baxter sigma model description proposed by Klimcik [8]. Then, an integrable deformation is specified by picking up a classical r-matrix satisfying the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation (mCYBE). The original argument was restricted to principal chiral models, but it is now generalized to the symmetric coset case [9][2] (For the related progress, see [14]). Just after this success, the classical action of a q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring has been constructed [15].

The pioneering work [15] has developed a new arena. The coordinate system was introduced in [16][3]. So far, the metric in the string frame and NS-NS two-form have been obtained. However, the dilaton and R-R sector have not been determined yet and it is

[1] For another argument based on the Roiban-Siegel formulation [5], see [6].

[2] This generalization employed earlier arguments on q-deformations of $su(2)$ and $sl(2)$ studied in a series of papers [10, 11]. For other earlier arguments, see [12, 13].

[3] We refer to it as the ABF metric.

still an important issue to find out the full solution. In addition, there exists a singularity surface in the deformed AdS_5 part. Some generalizations to $AdS_n \times S^n$ have been discussed in [17]. The mirror theory of the q-deformed theory is argued in [18, 19]. The fast-moving string limit offers a possible resolution of the singularity [20]. Giant magnon solutions [21] are constructed in [18, 22, 23]. Some classical string solutions have been discussed in [24, 25]. Deformed Neumann models are also obtained in [26].

As a generalization of [15], one may consider integrable deformations with classical r-matrices satisfying the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE), rather than mCYBE. Along this line, the classical action of the deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring was constructed [27]. Some examples of classical r-matrices [28–31] have been found for well-known type IIB supergravity solutions including the Lunin-Maldacena-Frolov solutions [32, 33] and gravity duals for non-commutative gauge theories [34–36].

In this paper, we will focus upon the q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring [15]. An interesting issue is to reveal the nature of the singularity surface. For this purpose, we consider a GKP-like classical string solution[4] as a probe. Then it is shown that the classical solution cannot be stretched beyond the singularity surface. This observation may indicate that the holographic relation could be argued only inside the singularity surface. Therefore, we propose a new coordinate system which describes the spacetime inside the singularity surface, rather than taking a truncation limit (i.e., without any approximations). Moving to the new coordinate system, we study classical string surfaces and derive a deformed Neumann-Rosochatius (NR) system with a rigid string ansatz.

An adavantage to adopt the new coordinate system is that the unphysical region, where the sign of time component of the metric is flipped, is not contained. In the unphysical region, time evolution of strings is not well-defined and hence the motion of classical solutions is not described in a standard manner. Although the metric is invariant under the time translation even in the unphysical region, one cannot define the conservation laws of global charges. It is because the Cauchy surfaces are not globally defined and the integral of the charges would be indefinite. As a matter of course, there are many choices of the coordinate system which describe the spacetime enclosed by the singularity surface. Though our choice is just an example, it would be useful because it reproduces the usual global coordinates in

[4] The solution in AdS_5 was originally constructed by Gubser-Klebanov-Polyakov (GKP) [37].

the undeformed limit.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 considers a GKP-like string solution on the q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background. It is shown that the classical solution cannot stretch beyond the singularity surface. In section 3, we introduce a new coordinate system and then study minimal surfaces. The first example is a static one and its shape is a deformed AdS_2 . In the undeformed limit, the solution is reduced to a familiar one [38]. A possible generalization is to add an angular momentum. This can also be regarded as a deformation of the solution [39] . In section 4, we suppose a rigid string ansatz for the deformed S^5 part and reduce the system to a one-dimensional deformed NR system. The resulting system can be rewritten into the canonical form with interaction potentials of trigonometric and hyperbolic types. Section 5 is devoted to conclusion and discussion.

Appendix A explains trajectories of massless and massive particles in the ABF coordinates and the new coordinates. In Appendix B, giant magnons are reconsidered with the new coordinate system. The dispersion relation is the same as the one with the original coordinates [18,22,23]. In Appendix C, we derive a deformed NR system from the deformed AdS_5 part. The resulting system can be rewritten into the canonical form with interaction potentials of hyperbolic type.

2 The q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$

We first introduce the bosonic part of the q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action constructed in [15, 16]. Then a GKP-like string solution is considered on the deformed background. In particular, we study two limits of the solution, 1) a short-string limit and 2) a long-string limit. In each limit, the classical energy is expressed as an expansion in terms of an angular momentum.

2.1 The bosonic part of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action

In the following, we are concerned with the bosonic part of the q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring action [15], where the metric (in the string frame) and NS-NS two-form have been determined in [16]. The complete supergravity solution has not been found yet, but the metric and NS-NS two-form are sufficient for our present analysis.

The bosonic action (in the conformal gauge) is composed of the metric part S_G and the Wess-Zumino (WZ) term $S_{\rm WZ}$ like

$$S = S_G + S_{\rm WZ}\,.$$

Here $S_{\rm WZ}$ describes the coupling of string to an NS-NS two-form.

The metric part S_G can be divided into the AdS part and the internal sphere part like

$$\begin{aligned} S_G &= \int d\tau d\sigma\ \left[\,\mathcal{L}^G_{\rm AdS} + \mathcal{L}^G_{\rm S}\,\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\int d\tau d\sigma\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\left[G^{MN}_{\rm AdS}\partial^\mu X_M\partial^\nu X_N + G^{PQ}_{\rm S}\partial^\mu Y_P\partial^\nu Y_Q\right]\,, \end{aligned}$$

where the string world-sheet coordinates are $\sigma^\mu = (\tau,\sigma)$ with $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-1,+1)$. The metric for the AdS part and the sphere part are given by, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{{\rm AdS}_5} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[&-\frac{\cosh^2\rho\,dt^2}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho} + \frac{d\rho^2}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho} + \frac{\sinh^2\rho\,d\zeta^2}{1+C^2\sinh^4\rho\sin^2\zeta} \\ &+\frac{\sinh^2\rho\cos^2\zeta\,d\psi_1^2}{1+C^2\sinh^4\rho\sin^2\zeta} + \sinh^2\rho\sin^2\zeta\,d\psi_2^2\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{{\rm S}^5} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[&\frac{\cos^2\theta\,d\phi^2}{1+C^2\sin^2\theta} + \frac{d\theta^2}{1+C^2\sin^2\theta} + \frac{\sin^2\theta\,d\xi^2}{1+C^2\sin^4\theta\sin^2\xi} \\ &+\frac{\sin^2\theta\cos^2\xi\,d\phi_1^2}{1+C^2\sin^4\theta\sin^2\xi} + \sin^2\theta\sin^2\xi\,d\phi_2^2\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Here the deformed AdS_5 is parameterized by[5] the coordinates $(t\,,\psi_1\,,\psi_2\,,\zeta\,,\rho)$. The deformed S^5 is done by $(\phi\,,\phi_1\,,\phi_2\,,\xi\,,\theta)$. The deformation is measured by a real parameter $C \in [0,\infty)$[6]. When $C = 0$, the geometry is reduced to the undeformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ with the curvature radius R. The range of the coordinates is the same as in the case with $C = 0$.

The WZ term is also divided into two parts:

$$\mathcal{L}^{WZ}_{\rm AdS} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\,C\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\frac{\sinh^4\rho\sin 2\zeta}{1+C^2\sinh^4\rho\sin^2\zeta}\,\partial_\mu\psi_1\partial_\nu\zeta\,, \tag{2.3}$$

[5] For our convenience, we have slightly changed the notation of [16]. The dictionary is as follows:

$$\rho_{\rm ABF} = \sinh\rho_{\rm us}\,,\qquad r_{\rm ABF} = \sin\theta_{\rm us}\,.$$

There is no essential difference between the two notations.

[6] One can fix the range of C as $C \geq 0$ without loss of generality. Note that the q-deformed metric given by (2.1) and (2.2) depends on C^2 only and the coupling to the NS-NS two-form is linear in C. Hence, by fixing the signature of the string charge, the range $C \geq 0$ is realized in general.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm S}^{WZ} = -\frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\, C\, \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \frac{\sin^4\theta \sin 2\xi}{1 + C^2 \sin^4\theta \sin^2\xi}\, \partial_\mu \phi_1 \partial_\nu \xi\,. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is the totally anti-symmetric tensor on the world-sheet and it is normalized as $\epsilon^{01} = +1$. A C-dependent string tension $T_{(C)}$ is defined as

$$T_{(C)} \equiv T(1 + C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,, \qquad T \equiv \frac{R^2}{2\pi\alpha'}\,, \qquad \sqrt{\lambda} \equiv \frac{R^2}{\alpha'}\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Each component of the WZ term is proportional to C, hence it vanishes when $C = 0$.

2.2 A GKP-like string solution

Let us consider a GKP-like string solution [37] on the q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ background. The solution describes a folded, rotating string sitting at the origin of the deformed AdS_5. As a remarkable feature, it cannot stretch beyond the singularity surface by changing the value of an angular momentum. This part has some overlap with [25].

A rotating string ansatz

Suppose the following rotating string ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} &t = \kappa\tau\,, \quad \psi_1 = \omega\tau\,, \quad \rho = \rho(\sigma)\,, \quad \psi_2 = \zeta = 0\,, \\ &\phi = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \theta = \xi = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Here κ and ω are real constant parameters and we assume that $\kappa > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$. The function $\rho(\sigma)$ satisfies the periodic boundary condition: $\rho(\sigma) = \rho(\sigma + 2\pi)$. Note that (2.6) is a consistent ansatz and the WZ term vanishes under (2.6).

The conserved charges associated with t and ψ_1 are given by, respectively,

$$E = \sqrt{\lambda}(1 + C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\, \kappa \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}\, \frac{\cosh^2\rho}{1 - C^2 \sinh^2\rho}\,, \tag{2.7}$$

$$S = \sqrt{\lambda}(1 + C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\, \omega \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{2\pi} \sinh^2\rho\,. \tag{2.8}$$

Under the rotating string ansatz (2.6), the equation of motion for ρ is simplified as

$$\frac{\rho''}{1 - C^2 \sinh^2\rho} = \Big[\frac{(1 + C^2)\kappa^2 - C^2\rho'^2}{(1 - C^2 \sinh^2\rho)^2} - \omega^2\Big] \sinh\rho\cosh\rho\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The Virasoro constraints impose the following constraint on ρ:

$$\frac{\rho'^2}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho} = \kappa^2\frac{\cosh^2\rho}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho} - \omega^2\sinh^2\rho\,. \tag{2.10}$$

This implies the integral of (2.9). For latter discussion, it is helpful to rewrite (2.10) as

$$\frac{\rho'^2}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho} = \frac{C^2\omega^2(\sinh^2\rho_0^{(+)} - \sinh^2\rho)(\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} - \sinh^2\rho)}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho}\,. \tag{2.11}$$

Here $\rho_0^{(\pm)}$ are extreme values of ρ given by

$$\sinh^2\rho_0^{(\pm)} = \frac{1}{2C^2\omega^2}\Big(\omega^2-\kappa^2 \pm \sqrt{(\omega^2-\kappa^2)^2 - 4C^2\kappa^2\omega^2}\Big)\,. \tag{2.12}$$

The subscript $(\pm)$ corresponds to the signature of the square root. For later convenience, it is helpful to see the behavior of $\sinh\rho_0^{(\pm)}$ in the $C\to 0$ limit with κ and ω fixed:

$$\sinh\rho_0^{(+)} \sim \frac{1}{C} \to \infty\,, \qquad \sinh\rho_0^{(-)} \to \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\omega^2-\kappa^2}}\,. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that the r.h.s of (2.11) remains finite and non-zero.

In the following, we are concerned with the behavior of ρ by solving the Virasoro constraint (2.11). However, we have to take account of constraint conditions on κ and ω. These come from (2.12) because $\sinh^2\rho_0^{(\pm)}$ is positive and real. Then, we examine the behavior of the solution and compute the conserved charges.

Constraints on κ and ω

Let us consider constraints on κ and ω, which come from (2.12) and the assumptions:[7]

$$\sinh^2\rho_0^{(\pm)} \in \mathbb{R} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sinh^2\rho_0^{(\pm)} \geq 0\,. \tag{2.14}$$

From the reality condition, we obtain an inequality,

$$(\omega^2-\kappa^2)^2 - 4C^2\kappa^2\omega^2 \geq 0\,. \tag{2.15}$$

The positivity leads to other inequalities,

$$\omega^2-\kappa^2 \pm \sqrt{(\omega^2-\kappa^2)^2 - 4C^2\kappa^2\omega^2} \geq 0\,, \tag{2.16}$$

[7]Actually, the assumptions for $\rho_0^{(-)}$ are enough. One can ensure that $\rho_0^{(+)}$ is real and non-negative from the assumptions for $\rho_0^{(-)}$.

where the sign of the square root correspond to $\rho_0^{(\pm)}$. Because C is non-negative, the former condition (2.15) means that

$$\omega \geq (\sqrt{1+C^2}+C)\kappa \qquad \text{for} \qquad \omega \geq \kappa\,, \tag{2.17}$$

$$0 \leq \omega \leq (\sqrt{1+C^2}-C)\kappa \qquad \text{for} \qquad \omega < \kappa\,. \tag{2.18}$$

On the other hand, the latter one (2.16) is satisfied only by the $\omega \geq \kappa$ case. For both $\rho^{(\pm)}$, the following condition should be satisfied,

$$\omega \geq (\sqrt{1+C^2}+C)\kappa \qquad \text{for} \qquad \omega \geq \kappa\,. \tag{2.19}$$

As a result, for both $\rho_0^{(\pm)}$, just a single inequality is necessary to be satisfied,

$$\omega \geq (\sqrt{1+C^2}+C)\kappa\,. \tag{2.20}$$

This inequality plays a crucial role in studying the behavior of the solution.

The range of $\rho_0^{(\pm)}$

Let us next examine the range of $\rho_0^{(\pm)}$ under the condition (2.20) . Note that the q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ metric (2.1) has the singularity at $\rho = \rho_s$,

$$\rho_s = \operatorname{arcsinh}\frac{1}{C}\,. \tag{2.21}$$

The condition (2.20) lead to a sequences of inequalities,

$$\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} \leq \sinh^2\rho_0^* = \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}}-1 \leq \sinh^2\rho_0^{(+)} < \sinh^2\rho_s = \frac{1}{C^2}\,. \tag{2.22}$$

Here ρ_0^* is the value of ρ when $\rho_0^{(-)} = \rho_0^{(+)}$, which is realized by adjusting ω and κ like

$$\omega = (\sqrt{1+C^2}+C)\kappa\,, \tag{2.23}$$

That is, it is when the inequality (2.20) is saturated and notably the resulting value of ρ_0^* depends only on C . It should be remarked that $\rho^{(\pm)}$ cannot exceed the singularity surface located at $\rho = \rho_s$. This fact will be significant in later analysis.

Allowed regions for the classical solutions

With the range of $\rho_0^{(\pm)}$ in (2.22), the positivity of the r.h.s. of (2.11) implies that the solution is allowed to exist only in two regions:

$$\text{I)} \quad 0 \le \rho \le \rho_0^{(-)} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \rho_0^{(-)} \le \rho_0^* < \rho_s \,, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\text{II)} \quad \rho_0^{(+)} \le \rho \le \infty \qquad \text{with} \qquad \rho_0^* \le \rho_0^{(+)} < \rho_s \,. \tag{2.25}$$

As a result, a GKP-like string solution can exist only in the region I), because it should contain $\rho = 0$. Thus the solution cannot stretch beyond the singularity surface.

On the other hand, in the region II), it seems that spiky string solutions might exist. Naively, one might think that the spiky strings stretch from $\rho = \infty$ to $\rho_0^{(+)}$, passing through the singularity surface, then turn back to $\rho = \infty$. In this case, however, the integrand of the energy (2.7) diverges at the singularity and becomes negative for $\rho > \rho_s$. It may be possible to consider a spiky string solution attached to the singularity surface, rather than $\rho = \infty$. We will not discuss it here, but it would be quite interesting to study it elaborately.

The periodicity condition

It is turn to examine the periodicity of ρ in the region I). A folded string satisfies the periodicity by splitting the range $0 \le \sigma < 2\pi$ into four segments: for $0 \le \sigma < \pi/2$ the function $\rho(\sigma)$ increases from zero to its maximal value $\rho_0^{(-)}$, then $\rho(\sigma)$ decrease to zero for $\pi/2 \le \sigma < \pi$. The same procedure is followed for $\pi \le \sigma < 2\pi$ as well.

By rewriting $d\sigma$ with (2.11), the periodicity condition can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma = 4\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}} \frac{d\rho}{\rho'} = 4\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}} \frac{d\rho \, \sinh\rho_0^{(+)} \sinh\rho_0^{(-)}}{\kappa\sqrt{(\sinh^2\rho_0^{(+)} - \sinh^2\rho)(\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} - \sinh^2\rho)}} \\ &= 4\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}} \frac{d\rho \, \sinh\rho_0^{(-)}}{\kappa\sqrt{(1 - \frac{C^2\omega^2}{\kappa^2}\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)}\sinh^2\rho)(\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} - \sinh^2\rho)}} \,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Here we have used the relation,

$$\sinh\rho_0^{(+)} \sinh\rho_0^{(-)} = \frac{\kappa}{C\omega} \,. \tag{2.27}$$

The conserved charges

Finally, we evaluate the conserved charges E and S. By using (2.26), the charges given in (2.7) and (2.8) are rewritten as, respectively,

$$E = \sqrt{\lambda}\,(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\frac{2\kappa}{\pi}\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}}\frac{d\rho}{\rho'}\,\frac{\cosh^2\rho}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho}\,,$$
$$S = \sqrt{\lambda}\,(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\frac{2\omega}{\pi}\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}}\frac{d\rho}{\rho'}\sinh^2\rho\,.$$

Note that the charges are not independent of each other due to the Virasoro constraints. Therefore the energy E can be expressed as a function of S. However, it is quite difficult to obtain the explicit form of the function in general. Hence one needs to take some special limits to study approximate forms. In the next subsection, we will consider two kinds of limits and consider the explicit expressions of E in terms of S.

2.3 Two limits of the solution

We shall study two kinds of limits of the solution, 1) a short-string limit and 2) a long-string limit. In each limit, the classical energy E is expressed as an expansion in terms of the angular momentum S.

1) a short-string limit

Let us consider a case that $\rho_0^{(+)}$ is close to the location of the singularity surface $\rho = \rho_s$. For large ω, i.e. $\omega \gg \kappa$, $\sinh\rho_0^{(\pm)}$ behaves like

$$\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} \sim \left(\frac{\kappa}{\omega}\right)^2, \qquad \sinh^2\rho_0^{(+)} \sim \frac{1}{C^2}\,. \tag{2.28}$$

This indicates that the solution is confined a narrow region near the origin of the deformed AdS_5. As a result, the length of the folded string is quite short.

Then, by using approximations $\sinh\rho \approx \rho$ and $\rho_0^{(-)} \approx \kappa/\omega$, the conserved charges are evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} E &\simeq \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,\rho_0^{(-)}\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}} d\rho\,\frac{(1+\rho^2)(1+C^2\rho^2)}{\sqrt{(\rho_0^{(-)})^2-\rho^2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\frac{\kappa}{\omega}\Big[1+\frac{1}{2}(1+C^2)\Big(\frac{\kappa}{\omega}\Big)^2+...\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

$$S \simeq \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\rho_0^{(-)}\int_0^{\rho_0^{(-)}} d\rho\, \frac{\rho^2}{\sqrt{(\rho_0^{(-)})^2-\rho^2}}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{\kappa}{\omega}\right)^2 + \dots . \tag{2.30}$$

As $\kappa/\omega \ll 1$, it implies $S/\sqrt{\lambda} \ll 1$. As a result, we obtain the following relation

$$E^2 = 2\sqrt{\lambda}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\, S\Big[1+(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{2S}{\sqrt{\lambda}}+\dots\Big]. \tag{2.31}$$

The resulting expression is quite similar to the undeformed case [37], up to the C-dependent coefficients. When $C=0$, the result in [37] is reproduced precisely.

2) a long-string limit

Another interesting case is that $\rho_0^{(-)} \approx \rho_0^*$, where the length of the folded string becomes maximal. It is convenient to introduce new variables like

$$\sin u \equiv \frac{\sinh\rho}{\sinh\rho_0^{(-)}}, \qquad q \equiv \sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} > 0\,.$$

Solving ω/κ in terms of q, we obtain that

$$\frac{\omega}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-C^2q}}\sqrt{\frac{1+q}{q}}\,. \tag{2.32}$$

Then the periodicity condition (2.26) can be rewritten as

$$2\pi = \frac{4\sqrt{q}}{\kappa}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{du}{\sqrt{1+q\sin^2 u}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{C^2q(1+q)}{1-C^2q}\sin^2 u}}\,. \tag{2.33}$$

In the present case, the following condition is supposed,

$$\frac{\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)}}{\sinh^2\rho_0^{(+)}} = \frac{C^2q(1+q)}{1-C^2q} = 1-\epsilon \qquad \text{with} \qquad \epsilon \ll 1\,. \tag{2.34}$$

The condition (2.34) is rewritten as

$$q \equiv \sqrt{\Big(1+\frac{1}{C^2}\Big)(1-\epsilon)+\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}} - 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \epsilon \ll 1\,. \tag{2.35}$$

This implies that

$$\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)} \equiv q \approx \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}} - 1 = \sinh^2\rho_0^*\,. \tag{2.36}$$

Then the periodicity condition (2.33) leads to the Elliptic integral of the first kind

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi &= \frac{4}{\kappa}\sqrt{\frac{q}{1+q}}\mathrm{K}\Big[1-\frac{\epsilon}{1+q}\Big] \\ &= \frac{4}{\kappa}\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\Big[\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}+\text{const.}(C)+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.37}$$

where const.(C) is a C-dependent constant term. The finiteness of the integral (2.37) implies the following limit for consistency,

$$\epsilon \ll 1\,, \qquad \kappa \gg 1 \qquad \text{with} \qquad \frac{1}{\kappa}\log\frac{1}{\epsilon} : \text{fixed}\,. \tag{2.38}$$

Combining this limit to the condition (2.35) , the consistent condition for a long-string limit becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &q \equiv \sqrt{\Big(1+\frac{1}{C^2}\Big)(1-\epsilon)+\frac{\epsilon^2}{4}}-1+\frac{\epsilon}{2}\,, \\ &\epsilon \ll 1\,, \qquad \kappa \gg 1 \qquad \text{with} \qquad \frac{1}{\kappa}\log\frac{1}{\epsilon} : \text{fixed}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

It implies the following relation

$$q = \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}}-1+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,, \qquad \frac{\omega}{\kappa} = \sqrt{1+C^2}+C+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)\,. \tag{2.40}$$

Under the condition (2.39) , the periodicity (2.37) becomes

$$2\pi = \frac{2}{\kappa}\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\,\log\frac{1}{\epsilon}\,.$$

Note that even in the $C \to 0$ limit, this solution does not reproduce a GKP string solution.

It is turn to examine the conserved charges E and S. Under the condition (2.39) , the charges are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{q}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{du\,\sqrt{1+q\sin^2 u}}{(1-C^2 q\sin^2 u)\sqrt{1-(1-\epsilon)\sin^2 u}}\,, \\ S &= \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\sqrt{q}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{du}{\sqrt{1+q\sin^2 u}}\frac{q\sin^2 u}{\sqrt{1-(1-\epsilon)\sin^2 u}}\,. \end{aligned}$$

In order to evaluate the charges, it is helpful to divide the integrals as follows

$$E = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}} du\, f_E(u) + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} du\, f_E(u)\,, \quad S = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}} du\, f_S(u) + \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} du\, f_S(u)\,,$$

where $f_E(u)$ and $f_S(u)$ denote the integrands of E and S, respectively.

We first consider the integrals for $0 \le u \le \frac{\pi}{2} - 2\epsilon^{1/4}$ under the condition (2.39). In this region, $f_E(u)$ and $f_S(u)$ can be expanded in terms of ϵ like

$$f_E(u) = \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{q}\Big(\frac{\sqrt{1+q\sin^2 u}}{\cos u\,(1-C^2 q\sin^2 u)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big),$$
$$f_S(u) = \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{\omega}{\kappa}\sqrt{q}\Big(\frac{q\sin^2 u}{\cos u\,\sqrt{1+q\sin^2 u}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big).$$

Here q and ω/κ are evaluated as in (2.40). Then the integrals are also expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}} du\, f_E(u) \\
&= \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big(\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\log\Big[\frac{1+C^2}{C^2\epsilon}\Big] - \operatorname{arctanh}\Big(1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\qquad + \sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\Big(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big), \\
&\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}} du\, f_E(u) \\
&= \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big(\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\log\Big[\frac{1+C^2}{C^2\epsilon}\Big] - \operatorname{arcsinh}\Big(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}}-1\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\qquad + \sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\Big(\frac{2}{3}+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us next check the integrals for $\frac{\pi}{2} - 2\epsilon^{1/4} \le u \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. Under the condition (2.39), both integrals can be evaluated by the middle-point prescription, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} du\, f_E(u) &\simeq 2\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\, f_E\big(u = \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\big) \qquad (2.41)\\
&= \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\Big(2 - \Big(\frac{5}{3}+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big), \\
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}-2\epsilon^{1/4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} du\, f_S(u) &\simeq 2\epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\, f_S\big(u = \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{4}}\big) \qquad (2.42)\\
&= \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\Big(2 - \Big(\frac{5}{3}+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, the conserved charges E and S can be evaluated as, respectively,

$$E = \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big(\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\log\Big[\frac{1+C^2}{C^2\epsilon}\Big] - \operatorname{arctanh}\Big(1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}(2-\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big)\,,$$

$$S=\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big(\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\log\Big[\frac{1+C^2}{C^2\epsilon}\Big]-\mathrm{arcsinh}\Big(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}}-1\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$+\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}(2-\epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}})+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big)\,.$$

Thus we have obtained the following relation,

$$E-(\sqrt{1+C^2}+C)S=\frac{2\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big[(\sqrt{1+C^2}+C)\mathrm{arcsinh}\Big(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}}-1\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$-\mathrm{arctanh}\Big(1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big]\,. \tag{2.43}$$

Note that $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ terms have been canceled out in this relation.

By following [25], it may be helpful to introduce w_0 and k_0 defined as

$$w_0\equiv\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\,,\qquad k_0\equiv\sqrt{1-\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\,. \tag{2.44}$$

Then it is an easy task to show the relations,

$$\mathrm{arcsinh}\Big(\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{C^2}}-1\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\log\Big[\frac{1+k_0}{1-k_0}\Big]\,,$$
$$-\mathrm{arctanh}\Big(1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big)^{-\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\log\Big[\frac{w_0-1}{w_0+1}\Big]\,.$$

Thus we have obtained that

$$E-\left(\frac{w_0}{k_0}\right)S=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big(\frac{w_0}{k_0}\log\Big[\frac{1+k_0}{1-k_0}\Big]+\log\Big[\frac{w_0-1}{w_0+1}\Big]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\Big)\,. \tag{2.45}$$

Note that the $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ terms are expressed in terms of functions of S through

$$\epsilon\sim\exp\Big[-C\sqrt{1+\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}}\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,S\Big]\,. \tag{2.46}$$

This result agrees with the one presented in [25] .

Finally, it is worth noting the difference between the dispersion relation (2.43) (or equivalently (2.45)) and the GKP dispersion relation in the undeformed case. The dispersion relation (2.43) is not related to the GKP dispersion relation (log S) through the undeformed limit $C\to 0$. In particular, the log factor cannot be reproduced. A possible reason is that under the condition (2.39) one can see that $\sinh^2\rho_0^{(-)}\sim\sinh^2\rho_0^{(+)}\sim 1/C^2$. Then the r.h.s. of (2.11) behaves $\sim 1/C^2$ and hence becomes infinite in the $C\to 0$ limit. It indicates that this solution is not reduced to the usual GKP string solution in the undeformed limit.

3 A new coordinate system for q-deformed $\mathbf{AdS}_5\times\mathbf{S}^5$

A remarkable result in the previous section is that the GKP-like string solution cannot stretch beyond the singularity surface for an arbitrary value of the angular momentum. This behavior may be regarded as a sign that the degrees of freedom are confined into the region inside the singularity surface. In order to confirm this statement, we have to do much more efforts and get a lot of support. However, if it is true, then it suggests that the holographic relation may be discussed only inside the singularity surface.

Therefore, it would worth trying to look for a good coordinate system in which only the inside of the singularity surface is well described. This viewpoint is somehow similar to the tortoise coordinates for black holes. In the following, we will introduce a new coordinate system in which the singularity surface is located at infinity of the radial direction. Then we consider minimal surfaces with the new coordinate system.

3.1 A new coordinate system

Let us try to introduce a new coordinate system in which only the inside of the singularity surface is described.

We first introduce a new coordinate χ, instead of ρ, for the deformed AdS_5 part:

$$\frac{\cosh\rho}{\sqrt{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho}} \equiv \cosh\chi\,. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that χ coincides with ρ when $C=0$. This transformation maps

$$\rho \;\in\; [0, \mathrm{arcsinh}(1/C)) \qquad \text{to} \qquad \chi \;\in\; [0,\infty)\,. \tag{3.2}$$

The transformation (3.1) implies that

$$\sinh^2\rho = \frac{\sinh^2\chi}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\,, \qquad \cosh^2\rho = \frac{(1+C^2)\cosh^2\chi}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

and the following relation is also obtained,

$$d\rho = \frac{(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\,d\chi\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Then the metric of the deformed AdS_5 (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$ds^2_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[-\cosh^2\chi\,dt^2 + \frac{d\chi^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} \tag{3.5}$$

$$+\frac{(1+C^2\cosh^2\chi)\sinh^2\chi}{(1+C^2\cosh^2\chi)^2+C^2\sinh^4\chi\sin^2\zeta}\,(d\zeta^2+\cos^2\zeta\,d\psi_1^2)+\frac{\sinh^2\chi\sin^2\zeta\,d\psi_2^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\Big]\,,$$

Note that a curvature singularity exists at $\chi=\infty$ in the new coordinate system as well. In Appendix A, it is shown that it takes infinite affine time for a massless particle to reach the singularity. Thus the proper distance from any point to the singularity is infinite. On the other hand, it does not take infinite time to reach the singularity in the coordinate time. This is the same result as in the usual AdS space with the global coordinates. Thus it seems likely to treat the singularity surface as the boundary in the holographic setup for the q-deformed geometry.

Similarly, one may also introduce a new coordinate γ for the deformed S^5 :

$$\frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{1+C^2\sin^2\theta}}\equiv\cos\gamma\,. \tag{3.6}$$

Note that γ coincide with θ when $C=0$. This transformation maps

$$\theta\ \in\ [0,\frac{\pi}{2}) \qquad \text{to} \qquad \gamma\ \in\ [0,\frac{\pi}{2})\,. \tag{3.7}$$

The transformation (3.6) implies that

$$\sin^2\theta=\frac{\sin^2\gamma}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,,\qquad \cos^2\theta=\frac{(1+C^2)\cos^2\gamma}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,, \tag{3.8}$$

and the following relation is also obtained,

$$d\theta=\frac{(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,d\gamma\,. \tag{3.9}$$

Then the resulting metric of the deformed S^5 (2.2) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{S^5}\,=\,&R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\cos^2\gamma\,d\phi^2+\frac{d\gamma^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma} \\ &+\frac{(1+C^2\cos^2\gamma)\sin^2\gamma}{(1+C^2\cos^2\gamma)^2+C^2\sin^4\gamma\sin^2\xi}\,(d\xi^2+\cos^2\xi\,d\phi_1^2)+\frac{\sin^2\gamma\sin^2\xi\,d\phi_2^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Here an advantage of the new coordinate system is not obvious, but it will be appreciated when we study a deformed NR model in Sec. 4.

Finally, the WZ terms are also rewritten as, respectively,

$$\mathcal{L}^{\rm WZ}_{\rm AdS}=\frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\,C\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\frac{\sinh^4\chi\sin 2\zeta}{(1+C^2\cosh^2\chi)^2+C^2\sinh^4\chi\sin^2\zeta}\,\partial_\mu\psi_1\partial_\nu\zeta\,, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm S}^{\rm WZ} = -\frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\, C\, \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \frac{\sin^4\gamma \sin 2\xi}{(1+C^2\cos^2\gamma)^2 + C^2 \sin^4\gamma \sin^2\xi}\, \partial_\mu\phi_1 \partial_\nu \xi\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Note that the resulting WZ terms are non-singular.

With the new coordinate system, one may revisit the GKP-like string solution. The behavior and the relation of the conserved charges should be identical just because the coordinate transformation has been performed.

3.2 Minimal surfaces

It would be worth considering minimal surfaces in the new coordinate system. The gauge-theory dual has not been unveiled yet, but at least when $C = 0$, these solutions correspond to Wilson loops in the $\mathcal{N}$=4 super Yang-Mills theory. The minimal surfaces may be a good clue to seek for the dual gauge theory.

1) a static string solution

Let us first study a static configuration of the string world-sheet with the ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} &t = \kappa\tau\,, \qquad \chi = \chi(\sigma)\,, \qquad \zeta = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = 0\,, \\ &\phi = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = \gamma = \xi = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Then the full metric is reduced to that of a deformed AdS_2 subspace,

$$ds^2_{\mathrm{AdS}_2} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[-\cosh^2\chi dt^2 + \frac{d\chi^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\Big]\,. \tag{3.14}$$

The WZ terms vanish under this ansatz (3.13) and the string Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{AdS}_2} = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\kappa^2\cosh^2\chi + \frac{\chi'^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\Big]\,. \tag{3.15}$$

Then the equation of motion for χ,

$$\frac{\chi''}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} = \Big[\kappa^2 + \frac{C^2\chi'^2}{(1+C^2\cosh^2\chi)^2}\Big]\cosh\chi\sinh\chi\,, \tag{3.16}$$

can be integrated as follows:

$$\kappa^2\cosh^2\chi - \frac{\chi'^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} = A \quad (\text{const.})\,. \tag{3.17}$$

Note that the Virasoro constraint

$$0 = -\kappa^2 \cosh^2\chi + \frac{\chi'^2}{1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi}\,, \tag{3.18}$$

leads to the condition $A = 0$.

Let us solve the first-order differential equation[8],

$$\chi' = -\kappa\cosh\chi\sqrt{1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi}\,, \tag{3.19}$$

The other direction of the AdS part can be described by the negative σ region. In total, the solution stretches from one boundary to the other boundary through the center.

By integrating (3.19) with the boundary condition $\chi(\sigma = 0) = \infty$,

$$-\int_\infty^\chi \frac{d\tilde{\chi}}{\cosh\tilde{\chi}\sqrt{1 + C^2\cosh^2\tilde{\chi}}} = \kappa\int_0^\sigma d\tilde{\sigma}\,, \tag{3.20}$$

the following expression is obtained,

$$\kappa\sigma = \arctan\Big[\frac{1}{C}\Big] - \arctan\Big[\frac{\sinh\chi}{\sqrt{1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi}}\Big]\,. \tag{3.21}$$

By introducing σ_0 as $\sinh\chi(\sigma_0) = 0$, $\kappa\sigma_0$ is related to C through

$$\kappa\sigma_0 = \arctan\Big[\frac{1}{C}\Big]\,. \tag{3.22}$$

The solution (3.21) can be rewritten as

$$\cosh^2\chi = \frac{1 + \tan^2\big[\arctan[\frac{1}{C}] - \kappa\sigma\big]}{1 - C^2\tan^2\big[\arctan[\frac{1}{C}] - \kappa\sigma\big]}\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Then, after taking acount of the negative σ region, the total energy is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= 2\times\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\,(1 + C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\kappa\int_\epsilon^{\sigma_0} d\sigma\cosh^2\chi \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1 + C^2}}{C}\,\mathrm{arctanh}\Big[C\cot\big(\kappa\epsilon + \arctan[C]\big)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where ϵ is a UV cut-off. When $C \neq 0$, one can expand (3.24) in terms of ϵ,

$$E = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,\frac{\sqrt{1 + C^2}}{C}\,\log\left[\frac{2C}{(1 + C^2)\,\kappa\epsilon}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Note that the energy E diverges logarithmically unlike the usual AdS/CFT case. It does not seem possible to remove this divergence by following the standard procedure [41] with the Poincare coordinates, as we will show later. There might be a proper method to regularize (3.24), or it may be divergent essentially.

[8]Here the $(-)$-signature is picked up, but the result is similar for the $(+)$-signature. The difference is that the classical solution is stretched from the boundary for $(-)$ or the origin for $(+)$. Here we are interested in the solution ending on the boundary, hence we have taken the $(-)$-signature.

A boundary term in Poincare coordinates

It would be worth mentioning about an additional contribution which comes from the boundary [41]. For that purpose, it is helpful to convert the solution (3.23) in the global coordinates into the one in the associated Poinacre coordinates in [40]. The metric of the q-deformed AdS_5 (3.5) can be rewritten with the Poincare coordinates like [40]

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} = R^2\sqrt{1+C^2}\Big[&\frac{dz^2+dr^2}{z^2+C^2(z^2+r^2)} + \frac{C^2(z\,dz+r\,dr)^2}{z^2\big(z^2+C^2(z^2+r^2)\big)} \\ &+\frac{\big(z^2+C^2(z^2+r^2)\big)r^2}{\big(z^2+C^2(z^2+r^2)\big)^2+C^2r^4\sin^2\zeta}\,(d\zeta^2+\cos^2\zeta\,d\psi_1^2) + \frac{r^2\sin^2\zeta\,d\psi_2^2}{z^2+C^2(z^2+r^2)}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

The solution (3.23) in the global coordinates can be rewritten in terms of the Poincare coordinates and it is divided into two sections according to the positive (or negative) σ region. For the positive σ region, the solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(+)}(\tau,\sigma) &= \mathrm{e}^{\kappa\tau}\sqrt{\cos^2(\arctan[1/C]-\kappa\sigma) - C^2\sin^2(\arctan[1/C]-\kappa\sigma)}\,, \\ r^{(+)}(\tau,\sigma) &= \sqrt{1+C^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\kappa\tau}\sin(\arctan[1/C]-\kappa\sigma)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and, for the negative σ region, the one is

$$\begin{aligned} z^{(-)}(\tau,\sigma) &= \mathrm{e}^{\kappa\tau}\sqrt{\cos^2(\arctan[1/C]+\kappa\sigma) - C^2\sin^2(\arctan[1/C]+\kappa\sigma)}\,, \\ r^{(-)}(\tau,\sigma) &= -\sqrt{1+C^2}\,\mathrm{e}^{\kappa\tau}\sin(\arctan[1/C]+\kappa\sigma)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

Here τ is taken as $-\infty < \tau < \infty$ and the $(\pm)$-signatures correspond to the solution in the positive σ region $\big(0 \le \sigma \le \sigma_0\big)$ and that of the negative σ region $\big(-\sigma_0 \le \sigma < 0\big)$, respectively. The positive σ region is mapped to $\theta \equiv \arctan(r/z)$,

$$\theta(\sigma=0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (\text{boundary}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \theta(\sigma=\sigma_0) = 0 \quad (\text{origin})\,, \tag{3.29}$$

while the negative σ region covers

$$\theta(\sigma=-\sigma_0) = 0 \quad (\text{origin}) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \theta(\sigma=0) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \quad (\text{boundary})\,. \tag{3.30}$$

Note that the contribution coming from the negative σ region is the same value as that from the positive σ region.

Then the classical Euclidean action of this solution is evaluated as

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{2\pi}\sqrt{1+C^2}\int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}}d\tau\int_{\epsilon}^{\sigma_0}d\sigma\,2\kappa^2\Big(\frac{1+\tan^2\big[\arctan[\frac{1}{C}]-\kappa\sigma\big]}{1-C^2\tan^2\big[\arctan[\frac{1}{C}]-\kappa\sigma\big]}\Big)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\,T\,\kappa\,\mathrm{arctanh}\Big[C\cot\big(\kappa\epsilon+\arctan[C]\big)\Big]\,, \tag{3.31}$$

where T is the interval of the world-sheet τ and taken to be large. When $C\neq 0$, the above expression can be expanded in terms of ϵ like

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\,T\,\kappa\,\log\left[\frac{2C}{(1+C^2)\,\kappa\epsilon}\right]+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,. \tag{3.32}$$

Note that the divergence is logarithmic again, unlike the usual AdS/CFT case.

It is turn to consider an additional contribution which comes from the boundary [41]. By taking account of a Legendre transformation, the total action is written into the following form,

$$\tilde{S} = S + S_L\,, \qquad S_L = 2\int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} d\tau \int_{\epsilon}^{\sigma_0} d\sigma\,\partial_\sigma\Big(z\,\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\sigma z)}\Big)\,. \tag{3.33}$$

The term S_L is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} S_L &= 2\int_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} d\tau\Big(z\,\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\sigma z)}\Big|_{\sigma_0} - z\,\frac{\partial L}{\partial(\partial_\sigma z)}\Big|_{\epsilon}\Big) \\ &= -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,\sqrt{1+C^2}\,T\,\kappa\,\cot\big(\kappa\epsilon+\arctan[C]\big)\,. \end{aligned}$$

When $C\neq 0$, S_L is expanded in terms of ϵ with non-vanishing C like

$$S_L = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\,\kappa+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,. \tag{3.34}$$

Thus, even though the boundary contribution (3.34) has been taken into account, the divergent term in (3.32) cannot be canceled out.

It is worth noting the undeformed limit of the classical action (3.32). By taking the $C\to 0$ limit (ϵ : fixed), the well-known result in the undeformed case is reproduced,

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,T\,\kappa\cot[\kappa\epsilon] = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}\,T}{\pi\,\epsilon}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\,. \tag{3.35}$$

In the undeformed limit $C\to 0$ with ϵ fixed, the expression (3.34) results in

$$S_L = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,T\,\kappa\cot[\kappa\epsilon]\,, \tag{3.36}$$

and it cancels out the divergent term in (3.35) as usual.

2) rotating minimal surface

We next generalize the minimal surface solution by adding an angular momentum in S^5. This is also a generalization of the solution in [39] to the deformed case.

Let us start from the following ansatz:

$$\begin{aligned} &t = \kappa\tau\,, \qquad \chi = \chi(\sigma)\,, \qquad \zeta = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = 0\,, \\ &\phi = \omega\tau\,, \qquad \gamma = \gamma(\sigma)\,, \qquad \xi = \phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Then the WZ terms vanish under this ansatz and the Lagrangian is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{AdS}_2\times\mathrm{S}^2} = -\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{4\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\kappa^2\cosh^2\chi + \frac{\chi'^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} - \omega^2\cos^2\gamma + \frac{\gamma'^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\Big]\,.$$

The equations of motion are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi''}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} &= \Big[\kappa^2 + \frac{C^2\chi'^2}{(1+C^2\cosh^2\chi)^2}\Big]\cosh\chi\sinh\chi\,, \\ \frac{\gamma''}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma} &= \Big[\omega^2 - \frac{C^2\gamma'^2}{(1+C^2\cos^2\gamma)^2}\Big]\cos\gamma\sin\gamma\,. \end{aligned}$$

The Virasoro constraint is

$$0 = -\kappa^2\cosh^2\chi + \frac{\chi'^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} + \omega^2\cos^2\gamma + \frac{\gamma'^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,. \tag{3.38}$$

The two equations of motion can be integrated, respectively, then the Virasoro constraint (3.38) imposes that the two integrals of motion should be identical,

$$\kappa^2\cosh^2\chi - \frac{\chi'^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi} = \omega^2\cos^2\gamma + \frac{\gamma'^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma} = A\,, \tag{3.39}$$

where A is a common integral of motion.

Imposing $\omega = \kappa$ and $A = \kappa^2$, the classical solution of χ becomes simple. The motion of χ is fixed by the first-order differential equation[9],

$$\chi' = -\kappa\sinh\chi\sqrt{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\,. \tag{3.40}$$

This equation implies that the minimum of χ is the center of the deformed AdS_5 part. The other direction of the AdS part is described by the negative σ region. In total, the solution is stretched from one boundary to the other boundary through the center.

[9]Here we have chosen the $(-)$-signature due to the same reason as we have mentioned for the static case.

By integrating (3.40) with the boundary condition $\chi(\sigma=0)=\infty$,

$$-\int_{\infty}^{\chi}\frac{d\tilde{\chi}}{\sinh\tilde{\chi}\sqrt{1+C^2\cosh^2\tilde{\chi}}}=\kappa\int_0^{\sigma}d\tilde{\sigma}\,, \tag{3.41}$$

the following expression is obtained,

$$\kappa\sigma=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\operatorname{arctanh}\Big[\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}\cosh\chi}{\sqrt{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}}\Big]-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\operatorname{arctanh}\Big[\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\Big]\,.$$

This solution can be rewritten as

$$\cosh\chi=\frac{\sinh\big[\sqrt{1+C^2}\kappa\sigma+\operatorname{arccoth}\big[\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\big]\big]}{\sqrt{\cosh^2\big[\sqrt{1+C^2}\kappa\sigma+\operatorname{arccoth}\big[\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\big]\big]+C^2}}\,. \tag{3.42}$$

Taking the $C\to 0$ limit, the undeformed solution is reproduced,

$$\cosh\chi\to\frac{1}{\tanh\kappa\sigma}\,.$$

Next, let us focus upon the sphere part. The equation for γ becomes[10]

$$\gamma'=-\kappa\sin\gamma\sqrt{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,. \tag{3.43}$$

By integrating (3.43) with the boundary condition $\gamma(\sigma=0)=\pi/2$, the following relation is obtained,

$$-\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\gamma}\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}}{\sin\tilde{\gamma}\sqrt{1+C^2\cos^2\tilde{\gamma}}}=\kappa\int_0^{\sigma}d\tilde{\sigma}\,. \tag{3.44}$$

Then the left-hand side of (3.44) can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\gamma}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{d\tilde{\gamma}}{\sin\tilde{\gamma}\sqrt{1+C^2\cos^2\tilde{\gamma}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\operatorname{arctanh}\Big[\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}\cos\gamma}{\sqrt{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}}\Big]\,.$$

Thus we obtain the solution,

$$\cos\gamma=\frac{\sinh[\sqrt{1+C^2}\kappa\sigma]}{\sqrt{\cosh^2[\sqrt{1+C^2}\kappa\sigma]+C^2}}\,. \tag{3.45}$$

By taking the $C\to 0$ limit, the solution (3.45) is reduced to

$$\cos\gamma\to\tanh\kappa\sigma\,.$$

[10]Here we have taken the $(-)$-signature, but the result is similar for the $(+)$-signature. The only difference is that the classical solution extends over the northern hemisphere for $(-)$ or southern hemisphere for $(+)$.

Finally, we examine the conserved charges. By using the solutions (3.42) and (3.45) , the total angular momentum J and the energy E are given by, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\kappa\int_0^{\sigma_0} d\sigma \cos^2\gamma \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\kappa\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{C}\mathrm{arctanh}\Big[\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\tanh[\sqrt{1+C^2}\kappa\sigma_0]\Big]\Big)\,, \\
E &= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\kappa\int_\epsilon^{\sigma_0} d\sigma \cosh^2\chi \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\kappa\sigma_0 - \frac{1}{C}\mathrm{arctanh}\Big[\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\tanh\big[\sqrt{1+C^2}\kappa\sigma_0 + \mathrm{arccoth}[\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}]\big]\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{C}\mathrm{arctanh}\big[1-\frac{\kappa}{C}\epsilon\big]\Big)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where ϵ is a cut-off, while σ_0 is a cutoff for the length of the world-sheet. In the large $\kappa\sigma_0$ limit, it implies that

$$E = J\,,$$

after subtracting the UV divergence.

By taking the $C \to 0$ limit, the charges are reduced to the undeformed ones [39] ,

$$J \to \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\Big(\kappa\sigma_0 - \tanh\kappa\sigma_0\Big)\,, \quad E \to \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\Big(\kappa\sigma_0 - \coth\kappa\sigma_0 + \coth\kappa\epsilon\Big)\,.$$

4 NR system from q-deformed $\mathbf{S}^5$

In this section, we derive a deformed Neumann-Rosochatius (NR) system by introducing a rigid ansatz. As a remarkable feature, the resulting system has modified potential terms expressed by trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. Here we will concentrate on the Lagrangian for the deformed S^5 part (3.10). For the analysis for the deformed AdS_5 part, see Appendix B.

A deformed Neumann model has been derived in the original coordinate system [26]. Probably, there would be an intimate relation between the result in [26] and our result.

4.1 Rigid string ansatz

First of all, let us rewrite the metric (3.10) with the radial coordinates r_i ,

$$r_1 = \sin\gamma\cos\xi\,, \qquad r_2 = \sin\gamma\sin\xi\,, \qquad r_3 = \cos\gamma\,, \qquad \sum_{1=1}^{3} r_i^2 = 1\,. \tag{4.1}$$

The resulting metric (3.10) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{\mathrm{S}^5} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[&\frac{dr_3^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + r_3^2\,d\phi^2 + \frac{dr_1^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + \frac{(1+C^2r_3^2)r_1^2\,d\phi_1^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2} \\ &+\frac{dr_2^2+r_2^2\,d\phi_2^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} - \frac{C^2r_2^2(r_1dr_2-r_2dr_1)^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)[(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2]}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

The WZ part can also be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}^{WZ}_{\mathrm{S}} = -\frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\frac{2Cr_1r_2\,\partial_\mu\psi_1(r_1\partial_\nu r_2 - r_2\partial_\nu r_1)}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2}\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us suppose the following rigid string ansatz :

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_1 = \omega_1\tau + \alpha_1(\sigma)\,, \qquad \phi_2 = \omega_2\tau + \alpha_2(\sigma)\,, \qquad \phi = \omega_3\tau + \alpha_3(\sigma)\,, \\ &\gamma = \gamma(\sigma)\,, \qquad \xi = \xi(\sigma)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

According to this ansatz, the periodicity conditions are translated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &r_i(\sigma+2\pi) = r_i(\sigma) \qquad (i=1,2,3)\,, \\ &\alpha_i(\sigma+2\pi) = \alpha_i(\sigma) + 2\pi m_i \qquad (m_i\in\mathbb{Z})\,. \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Reduction of deformed S^5 to NR system

Under the ansatz (4.4) , the Lagrangian results in a one-dimensional dynamical system,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{S}^5} = -\frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\Big[&\frac{r_3'^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + r_3^2(-\omega_3^2+\alpha_3'^2) + \frac{r_1'^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + \frac{(1+C^2r_3^2)r_1^2(-\omega_1^2+\alpha_1'^2)}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2} \\ &+\frac{r_2'^2+r_2^2(-\omega_2^2+\alpha_2'^2)}{1+C^2r_3^2} - \frac{C^2r_2^2(r_1r_2'-r_2r_1')^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)[(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2]} \\ &+\frac{2C\omega_1r_1r_2(r_1r_2'-r_2r_1')}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2} - \Lambda(\sum_{i=1}^{3} r_i^2 - 1)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where Λ is a Lagrange multiplier. From the equations of motion for $\alpha_i(\sigma)$, three constants of motion are obtained,

$$v_1 \equiv \frac{(1+C^2r_3^2)r_1^2\alpha_1'}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2}\,, \quad v_2 \equiv \frac{r_2^2\alpha_2'}{1+C^2r_3^2}\,, \quad v_3 \equiv r_3^2\alpha_3'\,, \tag{4.6}$$

where v_i are three integration constants. Note that these constants are modified due to the deformation. When $C=0$, v_i are reduced to the undeformed ones, i.e. $r_i^2\alpha_i'$ [42].

By removing α_i from (4.5), one can derive the Lagrangian for r_i,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{\mathrm{S}^5} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\Big[&\frac{r_3'^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} - r_3^2\omega_3^2 - \frac{v_3^2}{r_3^2} + \frac{r_1'^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} - \frac{(1+C^2r_3^2)r_1^2\omega_1^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2} \\
&-\Big(1+C^2r_3^2+\frac{C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2}{1+C^2r_3^2}\Big)\frac{v_1^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{r_2'^2-r_2^2\omega_2^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} - (1+C^2r_3^2)\frac{v_2^2}{r_2^2} \\
&-\frac{C^2r_2^2(r_1r_2'-r_2r_1')^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)[(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2]} + \frac{2C\omega_1r_1r_2(r_1r_2'-r_2r_1')}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2} \\
&-\Lambda(\sum_{i=1}^{3}r_i^2-1)\Big]\,. \qquad (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have changed the sign of (4.5), except for the terms which include v_i^2/r_i^2 so as to reproduce the same equations of motion for r_i. As a result, the Lagrangian (4.7) can be regarded as a deformed NR system derived from the deformed S^5. When $C=0$, this system reduces to the usual NR system [42].

Virasoro constraints

Let us comment on the Virasoro constraints. By including the time coordinate in the AdS part with $t=\kappa\tau$, the Virasoro constraints become

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2 = &\frac{r_3'^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + r_3^2\omega_3^2 + \frac{v_3^2}{r_3^2} + \frac{r_1'^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + \frac{(1+C^2r_3^2)r_1^2\omega_1^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2} \\
&+\Big(1+C^2r_3^2+\frac{C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2}{1+C^2r_3^2}\Big)\frac{v_1^2}{r_1^2} + \frac{r_2'^2+r_2^2\omega_2^2}{1+C^2r_3^2} + (1+C^2r_3^2)\frac{v_2^2}{r_2^2} \\
&-\frac{C^2r_2^2(r_1r_2'-r_2r_1')^2}{(1+C^2r_3^2)[(1+C^2r_3^2)^2+C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2]}\,, \qquad (4.8)
\end{aligned}$$

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\omega_i v_i\,. \qquad (4.9)$$

The second condition (4.9) implies that only two of v_i are independent of ω_i. It is also necessary to impose the periodicity conditions for $\alpha_i(\sigma)$:

$$2\pi m_1 = v_1\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{r_1^2(\sigma)}\Big(1+C^2r_3^2+\frac{C^2(r_1^2+r_2^2)r_2^2}{1+C^2r_3^2}\Big)\,, \qquad (4.10)$$

$$2\pi m_2 = v_2\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{r_2^2(\sigma)}(1+C^2r_3^2)\,, \qquad (4.11)$$

$$2\pi m_3 = v_3 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{r_3^2(\sigma)} \,. \tag{4.12}$$

These constraints lead to the undeformed ones when $C = 0$ [42].

4.3 A deformed S^3 subspace

For simplicity, we shall focus upon a subsector with the geometry R $\times$ deformed S^3, by imposing the condition,

$$r_2 = 0 \,. \tag{4.13}$$

Then the effective Lagrangian for r_3 and r_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{S^3} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\Big[& \frac{r_3'^2}{1+C^2 r_3^2} - r_3^2\omega_3^2 - \frac{v_3^2}{r_3^2} + \frac{r_1'^2}{1+C^2(1-r_1^2)} - \frac{r_1^2\omega_1^2}{1+C^2(1-r_1^2)} \\ & -\big(1+C^2(1-r_1^2)\big)\,\frac{v_1^2}{r_1^2} - \Lambda(r_3^2+r_1^2-1)\Big] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where the two constants v_i become

$$v_1 = \frac{r_1^2\alpha_1'}{1+C^2(1-r_1^2)} \,, \qquad v_3 = r_3^2\alpha_3' \,. \tag{4.15}$$

Virasoro constraints

The Virasoro constraints are also reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 = & \frac{r_3'^2}{1+C^2 r_3^2} + r_3^2\omega_3^2 + \frac{v_3^2}{r_3^2} + \frac{r_1'^2}{1+C^2(1-r_1^2)} \\ & + \frac{r_1^2\omega_1^2}{1+C^2(1-r_1^2)} + \big(1+C^2(1-r_1^2)\big)\,\frac{v_1^2}{r_1^2} \,, \\ 0 = & \ \omega_3 v_3 + \omega_1 v_1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

The periodicity conditions for $\alpha_i(\sigma)$ become

$$2\pi m_1 = v_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{r_1^2(\sigma)}\big(1+C^2(1-r_1^2)\big) \,, \qquad 2\pi m_3 = v_3 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{r_3^2(\sigma)} \,.$$

A canonical form

Finally, let us rewrite the effective Lagrangian (4.14) into a canonical form.

It is convenient to introduce the following new variables:

$$\frac{r_3'}{\sqrt{1+C^2 r_3^2}} \equiv x_3' \,, \qquad \frac{r_1'}{\sqrt{1+C^2(1-r_1^2)}} \equiv x_1' \,,$$

with the constraint $r_3^2 + r_1^2 = 1$. By integrating both sides of them, the following relations are obtained,

$$r_3 = \frac{1}{C}\sinh(Cx_3)\,, \qquad r_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\sin(Cx_1)\,.$$

Then, in terms of x_1 and x_3, the effective Lagrangian (4.14) is rewritten as

$$L_{S^3} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\Big[x_3'^2 - \frac{\omega_3^2}{C^2}\sinh^2(Cx_3) - \frac{C^2v_3^2}{\sinh^2(Cx_3)} + x_1'^2 - \frac{\omega_1^2}{C^2}\tan^2(Cx_1) - \frac{C^2v_1^2}{\tan^2(Cx_1)} - \frac{\Lambda}{C^2}\big(\sinh^2(Cx_3) + (1+C^2)\sin^2(Cx_1) - C^2\big)\Big]\,. \tag{4.16}$$

Note that the potential terms are expressed with trigonometric and hyperbolic functions. This result indicates that the system belongs to the trigonometric class of integrable system. By taking the $C \to 0$ limit, the deformed Lagrangian (4.16) leads to the usual NR system [42].

5 Conclusion and discussion

We have further discussed a q-deformation of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring. In particular, we have argued the nature of the singularity surface by adopting a GKP-like string solution as a probe. It has been shown that the solution cannot stretch beyond the singularity surface and this result may indicate that the holographic relation can be argued only inside the singularity surface. According to this observation, we have introduced a new coordinate system which describes the spacetime only inside the singularity surface. With the new coordinate system, we have studied minimal surfaces and derived a deformed NR system with a rigid string ansatz. In particular, the canonical Lagrangian of the resulting system contains the interaction potentials of trigonometric and hyperbolic types.

There are a lot of issues to be studied. The most fascinating one is to find out the dual gauge-theory side. It would be useful to look for some clues of the corresponding gauge theory by employing the new coordinate system. The first step is to reveal the geometry around the singularity surface, especially with the associated Poincare coordinates. We hope that we could report the result in the near future [40].

Acknowledgments

We appreciate Gleb Arutyunov, Sergey Frolov, Marc Magro, Takuya Matsumoto, Stijn van Tongeren and Benoit Vicedo for useful discussions. The work of TK was supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). This work is supported in part by JSPS Japan-Hungary Research Cooperative Program.

Appendix

A Trajectories of massless and massive particles

We consider here trajectories of massless and massive particles in order to study the causal structure around the singularity surface both in the ABF coordinates (2.1) and the new coordinates (3.5). As a result, the causal structure around the singularity surface is very similar to the boundary of the global AdS space.

A.1 Trajectories with the ABF metric

A massless particle

First of all, let us consider a massless particle which moves from the origin $\rho = 0$ to the singularity surface at $\rho_s = \mathrm{arcsinh}(1/C)$.

We first consider the trajectory in the coordinate time t. For simplicity, the angle variables are taken to be constant and we drop off the sphere-part contribution. Thus the relevant metric is given by

$$ds^2 = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[-\frac{\cosh^2\rho\, dt^2}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho} + \frac{d\rho^2}{1-C^2\sinh^2\rho}\Big]\,. \tag{A.1}$$

Then the trajectory is evaluated from $ds^2 = 0$.

It is convenient to introduce a new variable through $\cos\vartheta = 1/\cosh\rho$. According to this transformation, $\rho : 0 \to \rho_s$ corresponds to $\vartheta : 0 \to \vartheta_s$, where $\vartheta_s = \arctan(1/C)$. Then, by solving the following equation,

$$ds^2 = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\frac{-dt^2+d\vartheta^2}{\cos^2\vartheta - C^2\sin^2\vartheta}\Big] = 0\,, \tag{A.2}$$

the coordinate time from the origin to the singularity is evaluated as follows:

$$t = \int_0^{\vartheta_s} d\vartheta = \arctan\frac{1}{C}\,. \tag{A.3}$$

As a result, it does not take infinite time for the massless particle to reach the singularity surface in the coordinate time. Note that the well-known result $t = \pi/2$ is reproduced in the $C \to 0$ limit.

We next consider the trajectory in terms of an affine parameter t_A. The massless condition $p^2 = G^{tt}\, p_t p_t + G^{\rho\rho}\, p_\rho p_\rho = 0$ leads to the following relation,

$$-\frac{1 - C^2 \sinh^2\rho}{\cosh^2\rho}\, E^2 + \frac{1}{1 - C^2\sinh^2\rho}\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt_A}\right)^2 = 0\,. \tag{A.4}$$

Here the energy E is defined as $E \equiv -p_t$ and $p_\rho \equiv G_{\rho\rho} d\rho/dt_A$. Then the time from the origin to the boundary is evaluated as

$$t_A = \int_0^{\rho_s} \frac{d\rho}{E}\frac{\cosh\rho}{1 - C^2\sinh^2\rho} = \lim_{\rho\to\rho_s}\frac{1}{CE}\,\mathrm{arctanh}\big[C\sinh\rho\big] = \infty\,. \tag{A.5}$$

Thus it takes infinite affine time to reach the singularity surface at ρ_s as well as in the undeformed case.

In summary, the causal structure around the singularity surface is quite similar to the undeformed case. If a reflection boundary condition could be imposed, then one may consider the holography only inside the singularity surface. To fix the boundary condition precisely, it is necessary to evaluate the energy-momentum tensor by explicitly solving the Laplacian on the deformed AdS_5. However, it seems quite intricate and it would need more effort. We will leave it as a future problem.

A massive particle

It is turn to consider a trajectory of a massive particle which starts to move from the origin. The on-shell condition $p^2 = -m^2$ (m : static mass) leads to the relation,

$$G^{tt}\, E^2 + m^2 G_{\rho\rho}\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt_A}\right)^2 = -m^2\,. \tag{A.6}$$

Here we have used the relation $p_\rho \equiv m G_{\rho\rho} d\rho/dt_A$, where t_A is proper time. This relation is rewritten as,

$$\left(\frac{d\rho}{dt_A}\right)^2 = \frac{\big(E^2 - m^2 - (m^2 + C^2E^2)\sinh^2\rho\big)(1 - C^2\sinh^2\rho)}{m^2\cosh^2\rho}\,. \tag{A.7}$$

Note that $E \geq m$ because the static mass is m. Therefore the r.h.s. of (A.7) is positive at $\rho = 0$. There are two turning points. The one is $\rho = \rho_s$ and the other is

$$\rho = \rho_0 \equiv \text{arcsinh}\left[\sqrt{\frac{E^2 - m^2}{m^2 + C^2E^2}}\right].$$

It is an easy task to show that $\sinh\rho_0 < \sinh\rho_s$ for arbitrary values of E. It indicates that, for arbitrary values of E, the massive particle cannot reach the singularity surface.

By solving the differential equation (A.7), one can evaluate the proper time from the origin to the turning point at $\rho = \rho_0$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} t_A &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 + C^2E^2}} \int_0^{\rho_0} \frac{d\rho\, \cosh\rho}{\sqrt{(\sinh^2\rho_0 - \sinh^2\rho)(1 - C^2\sinh^2\rho)}} \\ &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{m^2 + C^2E^2}}\, \mathrm{K}\Big[C^2\sinh^2\rho_0\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.8}$$

Notice that (A.8) is reduced to $\pi/2$ in the $C \to 0$ limit.

The trajectory in the coordinate time is computed from the relation $dt/dt_A = -G^{tt}E/m$. Then, by using (A.8), one can evaluate the coordinate time from the origin to the turning point,

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{m^2 + C^2E^2}} \int_0^{\rho_0} \frac{d\rho\, \sqrt{1 - C^2\sinh^2\rho}}{\cosh\rho\, \sqrt{\sinh^2\rho_0 - \sinh^2\rho}} \\ &= \frac{(1 + C^2)\, E}{\sqrt{m^2 + C^2E^2}}\, \Pi\Big[-\sinh^2\rho_0,\, C^2\sinh^2\rho_0\Big] - \frac{C^2E}{\sqrt{m^2 + C^2E^2}}\, \mathrm{K}\Big[C^2\sinh^2\rho_0\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

Note that (A.9) becomes $\pi/2$ in the $C \to 0$ limit.

A.2 The new coordinates

In the previous subsection, we have studied the trajectories of massless and massive particles in the ABF coordinates. Let us here revisit the causal structure around the singularity surface in terms of the new coordinates.

A massless particle

The first case is a massless particle which moves from the origin $\chi = 0$ to the singularity surface at $\chi = \infty$.

Let us consider the trajectory in the coordinate time t. For simplicity, we drop off the sphere-part as in the previous. Then the metric is reduced to

$$ds^2 = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[-\cosh^2\chi\, dt^2 + \frac{d\chi^2}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\Big] = 0\,. \tag{A.10}$$

Then the condition $ds^2 = 0$ leads to the trajectory.

It is convenient to introduce a new variable through $\cos\theta = 1/\cosh\chi$. According to this transformation, $\chi : 0 \to \infty$ corresponds to $\theta : 0 \to \pi/2$. By solving the following equation,

$$ds^2 = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[-\frac{dt^2}{\cos^2\theta} + \frac{d\theta^2}{\cos^2\theta + C^2}\Big] = 0\,, \tag{A.11}$$

the coordinate time from the origin to the singularity is evaluated as follows:

$$t = \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} d\theta \frac{\cos\theta}{\sqrt{\cos^2\theta + C^2}} = \arccos\Big[\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\Big]\,. \tag{A.12}$$

As a result, it does not take infinite time for the massless particle to reach the singularity surface at $\chi = \infty$ in the coordinate time. Notice that the well-known result $t = \pi/2$ is reproduced in the $C \to 0$ limit.

Next, we consider the trajectory in terms of an affine parameter t_A. By starting from $p^2 = G_{tt}\, p^t p^t + G_{\chi\chi}\, p^\chi p^\chi = 0$, the following relation is obtained,

$$-\frac{E^2}{\cosh^2\chi} + \frac{1}{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}\left(\frac{d\chi}{dt_A}\right)^2 = 0\,, \tag{A.13}$$

with $E \equiv -p_t$. Then the time from the origin to the boundary is evaluated as

$$t_A = \int_0^\infty \frac{d\chi}{E}\frac{\cosh\chi}{\sqrt{1+C^2\cosh^2\chi}} = \lim_{\chi\to\infty}\frac{1}{CE}\,\mathrm{arctanh}\Big[\frac{C\sinh\chi}{\sqrt{1+C^2\cosh\chi}}\Big]\,. \tag{A.14}$$

Thus it takes infinite affine time to reach the singularity surface at $\chi = \infty$ as well as in the undeformed case.

The above results in the non-zero C case are the standards in the usual AdS, hence it seems likely that the singularity surface may be interpreted as the boundary in the new coordinate system.

A massive particle

Next we focus on a trajectory of a massive particle which start to move from the origin. The on-shell condition $p^2 = -m^2$ (m : static mass) leads to

$$G^{tt}\, E^2 + m^2 G_{\rho\rho}\left(\frac{d\chi}{dt_A}\right)^2 = -m^2\,, \tag{A.15}$$

where the energy is defined as $E \equiv -p_t$. This relation is rewritten as

$$\left(\frac{d\chi}{dt_A}\right)^2 = \frac{(E^2 - m^2\cosh^2\chi)(1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi)}{m^2\cosh^2\chi}\,. \tag{A.16}$$

Notice that $E \geq m$ because the static mass is m. Therefore the r.h.s. of (A.16) is positive at $\chi = 0$. In comparison to the previous, there is the unique turning point at

$$\chi = \chi_0 \equiv \mathrm{arccosh}\left[\frac{E}{m}\right]\,.$$

It indicates that, for arbitrary values of E, the massive particle cannot reach the singularity surface at $\chi = \infty$.

By solving the differential equation (A.16), one can evaluate the proper time from the origin to the turning point like

$$\begin{aligned} t_A &= \int_0^{\chi_0} \frac{d\chi\,\cosh\chi}{\sqrt{(\sinh^2\chi_0 - \sinh^2\chi)(1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi_0}}\,\mathrm{K}\Big[\frac{C^2\sinh^2\chi_0}{1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi_0}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

Notice that (A.17) is reduced to $\pi/2$ by taking the $C \to 0$ limit.

The trajectory in the coordinate time is computed from the relation $dt/dt_A = -G^{tt}E/m$. By using the relation in (A.17), the coordinate time from the origin to the turning point is evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{E}{m}\int_0^{\chi_0} \frac{d\chi}{\cosh\chi\sqrt{(\sinh^2\chi_0 - \sinh^2\chi)(1 + C^2\cosh^2\chi)}} \\ &= \frac{E}{\sqrt{1 + C^2}\,m}\,\Pi\Big[-\sinh^2\chi_0,\, -\frac{C^2}{1 + C^2}\sinh^2\chi_0\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

Note that (A.18) is reduced to $\pi/2$ by taking the $C \to 0$ limit.

B Giant magnons

It would be worth revisiting giant magnon solutions [18, 21–23] with the new coordinate system. The solution is argued in the q-deformed $\mathrm{R}\times\mathrm{S}^3$ subspace specified by the condition:

$$\zeta = 0\,, \qquad \xi = 0\,. \tag{B.1}$$

The metric of the deformed S^3 part is given by,

$$ds^2_{S^3} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[\cos^2\gamma\, d\phi^2 + \frac{d\gamma^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma} + \frac{\sin^2\gamma\, d\phi_1^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\Big]\,. \tag{B.2}$$

Notice that the WZ parts vanish under the condition (B.1) .

B.1 Finite J Giant magnons in R $\times$ deformed S^3

Our argument here basically follows a seminal paper [43] for the undeformed case.

First of all, we take the following ansatz :

$$t = \kappa\tau\,, \qquad \phi = \phi(\tau,\sigma)\,, \qquad \phi_1 = \text{const}\,, \qquad \gamma = \gamma(\tau,\sigma)\,. \tag{B.3}$$

This ansatz implies

$$E = \sqrt{\lambda}\,(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\kappa\,.$$

This relation depends on C .

In order to examine motions of ϕ and γ , let us solve the Virasoro constraints,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 &= \cos^2\gamma\,(\dot\phi^2+\phi'^2) + \frac{\dot\gamma^2+\gamma'^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,,\\ 0 &= \cos^2\gamma\,\dot\phi\,\phi' + \frac{\dot\gamma\,\gamma'}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

We suppose a solution which satisfies the following boundary conditions:

$$\Delta\phi = \phi(\tau,2\pi)-\phi(\tau,0) = p\,, \qquad \Delta\gamma = \gamma(\tau,2\pi)-\gamma(\tau,0) = 0\,.$$

It is convenient to introduce the following ansatz for ϕ and γ :

$$\phi = \tilde\phi + \omega\tau\,, \qquad \tilde\phi = \tilde\phi(\sigma - v\omega\tau)\,, \qquad \gamma = \gamma(\sigma-v\omega\tau)\,.$$

Then the Virasoro constraints (B.4) are rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa^2 &= \cos^2\gamma\,(\omega - v\omega\partial\tilde\phi)^2 + \cos^2\gamma\,(\partial\tilde\phi)^2 + \frac{1+v^2\omega^2}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,(\partial\gamma)^2\,,\\ 0 &= \cos^2\gamma\,(\omega - v\omega\partial\tilde\phi)\partial\tilde\phi - \frac{v\omega}{1+C^2\cos^2\gamma}\,(\partial\gamma)^2\,.\end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

Here ∂ means a derivative with respect to $x \equiv \sigma - v\omega\tau$.

$$\partial\tilde\phi = \frac{v}{(1-v^2\omega^2)\cos^2\gamma}\,(\kappa^2 - \omega^2\cos^2\gamma)\,,$$

$$(\partial\gamma)^2 = \frac{\omega^2(1+C^2\cos^2\gamma)}{(1-v^2\omega^2)^2\cos^2\gamma}\Big(\frac{\kappa^2}{\omega^2}-\cos^2\gamma\Big)(\cos^2\gamma - v^2\kappa^2)\,.$$

It is helpful to introduce a radial coordinate z as $z = \sin\gamma$.

Then above equations can be rewritten as

$$\partial\tilde{\phi} = \frac{v\omega^2}{(1-v^2\omega^2)}\frac{z^2-z_{\rm min}^2}{1-z^2}\,,$$
$$(\partial z)^2 = \frac{\omega^2(1+C^2-C^2z^2)}{(1-v^2\omega^2)^2}(z^2-z_{\rm min}^2)(z_{\rm max}^2-z^2)\,,$$

where we have introduced the following quantities,

$$z_{\rm min}^2 = 1-\frac{\kappa^2}{\omega^2}\,, \qquad z_{\rm max}^2 = 1-v^2\kappa^2\,. \tag{B.6}$$

Here $v < 1/\kappa$ is assumed.

Next let us examine the conserved charge J :

$$J = \sqrt{\lambda}\,(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\sigma}{2\pi}\,(1-z^2)\,\dot{\phi}\,. \tag{B.7}$$

Note that, by using $d\sigma = dz/z'$, the following relation is obtained,

$$2\pi = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma = 2\int_{z_{\rm min}}^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{|z'|} = \int_{z_{\rm min}}^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{2(1-v^2\omega^2)}{\omega\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}}\frac{dz}{\sqrt{(z^2-z_{\rm min}^2)(z_{\rm max}^2-z^2)}}\,. \tag{B.8}$$

Then J can be expressed as

$$J = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\,(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_{z_{\rm min}}^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}}\frac{z_{\rm max}^2-z^2}{\sqrt{(z^2-z_{\rm min}^2)(z_{\rm max}^2-z^2)}}\,. \tag{B.9}$$

The magnon momentum p is also given by

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\,\phi' = 2\int_{z_{\rm min}}^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{|z'|}\,\partial\tilde{\phi} \\ &= 2v\omega\int_{z_{\rm min}}^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}(1-z^2)}\frac{z^2-z_{\rm min}^2}{\sqrt{(z^2-z_{\rm min}^2)(z_{\rm max}^2-z^2)}}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

In principle, it would be possible to derive the dispersion relation of the magnon, but we will not do here. It is beyond our scope and we are interested in a feature of the new coordinate system. Hence, for our purpose, it would be rather useful to consider the infinite J limit and focus upon a simper expression of the dispersion relation.

B.2 Infinite J giant magnon

Let us consider the infinite J giant magnon. It corresponds to the case with $z_{\rm min}=0$, i.e.

$$\kappa=\omega\,,\qquad z_{\rm max}^2=1-v^2\omega^2\,.$$

The magnon momentum p becomes

$$\begin{aligned}p&=\int_0^{2\pi}d\sigma\,\phi'=2\int_0^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{|z'|}\,\partial\tilde{\phi}\\&=2v\omega\int_0^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}}\frac{z}{1-z^2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{z_{\rm max}^2-z^2}}\\&=i\log\Big[1-\frac{2z_{\rm max}^2+2i(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{z_{\rm max}^2-z_{\rm max}^4}}{1+C^2(1-z_{\rm max}^2)}\Big]\,.\end{aligned}$$

This leads to the following relation,

$$\sin^2\frac{p}{2}=\frac{1-v^2\omega^2}{1+C^2v^2\omega^2}\,. \tag{B.11}$$

The periodicity is expressed as

$$2\pi=\int_0^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{2(1-v^2\omega^2)}{\omega\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}}\frac{dz}{z\sqrt{z_{\rm max}^2-z^2}}\,.$$

Then the energy E is given by

$$E=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{(1-v^2\omega^2)}{\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}}\frac{dz}{z\sqrt{z_{\rm max}^2-z^2}}\,,$$

and J becomes

$$J=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^{z_{\rm max}}\frac{dz}{\sqrt{1+C^2-C^2z^2}}\frac{\sqrt{z_{\rm max}^2-z^2}}{z}\,.$$

By using (B.11), the giant magnon dispersion relation can be expressed as

$$E-J=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi}\frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C}\,{\rm arctanh}\frac{C\,|\sin\frac{p}{2}|}{\sqrt{1+C^2\sin^2\frac{p}{2}}}\,. \tag{B.12}$$

This result exactly agrees with the dispersion relation derived in [18, 22].

By converting C into ν through

$$\nu=\frac{C}{\sqrt{1+C^2}}\,,$$

the relation (B.12) can be expressed as

$$E-J=\frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\pi\nu}\,{\rm arcsinh}\frac{\nu\,|\sin\frac{p}{2}|}{\sqrt{1-\nu^2}}\,.$$

C Another deformed NR system

We derive here another deformation of the NR system from the deformed AdS_5 (For the metric, see (3.5)) . The analysis is quite parallel to the deformed S^5 case.

C.1 A rigid string ansatz

Let us first rewrite the metric of the deformed AdS_5 in (3.5) with the following coordinates:

$$s_0 = \cosh\chi\,, \qquad s_1 = \sinh\chi\cos\zeta\,, \qquad s_2 = \sinh\chi\sin\zeta\,. \tag{C.1}$$

Then the resulting metric is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} = R^2(1+C^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big[&-\frac{ds_0^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} - s_0^2\,dt^2 + \frac{ds_1^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} + \frac{(1+C^2s_0^2)s_1^2\,d\psi_1^2}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2} \\ &+\frac{ds_2^2+s_2^2\,d\psi_2^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} - \frac{C^2s_2^2(s_1ds_2-s_2ds_1)^2}{(1+C^2s_0^2)[(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2]}\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

The WZ part can also be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{WZ}}_{\mathrm{AdS}} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\,\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\frac{2Cs_1s_2\,\partial_\mu\psi_1(s_1\partial_\nu s_2 - s_2\partial_\nu s_1)}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2}\,. \tag{C.3}$$

Suppose a rigid string ansatz :

$$\begin{aligned} &t = \nu_0\,\tau + \beta_0(\sigma)\,, \quad \psi_1 = \nu_1\,\tau + \beta_1(\sigma)\,, \quad \psi_2 = \nu_2\,\tau + \beta_2(\sigma)\,, \\ &\chi = \chi(\sigma)\,, \quad \zeta = \zeta(\sigma)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.4}$$

Here ν_i $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are real constant parameters. According to this ansatz, the periodic boundary conditions are translated into the following form,

$$s_a(\sigma + 2\pi) = s_a(\sigma) \qquad (a = 0, 1, 2)\,, \tag{C.5}$$

$$\beta_0(\sigma + 2\pi) = \beta_0(\sigma)\,, \tag{C.6}$$

$$\beta_{a'}(\sigma + 2\pi) = \beta_{a'}(\sigma) + 2\pi k_{a'} \qquad (k_{a'} \in \mathbb{Z}\,, \quad a' = 1, 2)\,. \tag{C.7}$$

Note that the second condition (C.6) follows from the requirement that t should be single valued for σ .

C.2 Reduction to a NR system

With the ansatz (C.4), the Lagrangian results in a 1-d dynamical system,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} = -\frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\Big[&-\frac{s_0'^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} - s_0^2(-\nu_0^2+\beta_0'^2) + \frac{s_1'^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} + \frac{(1+C^2s_0^2)s_1^2(-\nu_1^2+\beta_1'^2)}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2} \\ &+\frac{s_2'^2+s_2^2(-\nu_2^2+\beta_2'^2)}{1+C^2s_0^2} - \frac{C^2s_2^2(s_1s_2'-s_2s_1')^2}{(1+C^2s_0^2)[(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2]} \\ &-\frac{2C\nu_1s_1s_2(s_1s_2'-s_2s_1')}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2} - \widetilde{\Lambda}(\eta^{ab}s_as_b+1)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

where $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a Lagrange multiplier and $\eta^{ab} = \mathrm{diag}(-1,+1,+1)$.

The equations of motion for $\beta_a(\sigma)$ lead to

$$u_0 \equiv s_0^2\,\beta_0'\,, \quad u_1 \equiv \frac{(1+C^2s_0^2)\,s_1^2\,\beta_1'}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)\,s_2^2}\,, \quad u_2 \equiv \frac{s_2^2\,\beta_2'}{1+C^2s_0^2}\,, \quad u_a = \text{const.}$$

Here u_a are three integration constants. Note that u_a depend on C. When $C=0$, the undeformed results are reproduced [42].

By deleting β_a from (C.8), the effective Lagrangian for s_a is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\mathrm{AdS}_5} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2}\Big[&-\frac{s_0'^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} + s_0^2\nu_0^2 + \frac{u_0^2}{s_0^2} + \frac{s_1'^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} - \frac{(1+C^2s_0^2)s_1^2\nu_1^2}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2} \\ &-\Big(1+C^2s_0^2+\frac{C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2}{1+C^2s_0^2}\Big)\frac{u_1^2}{s_1^2} + \frac{s_2'^2-s_2^2\nu_2^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} - (1+C^2s_0^2)\frac{u_2^2}{s_2^2} \\ &-\frac{C^2s_2^2(s_1s_2'-s_2s_1')^2}{(1+C^2s_0^2)[(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2]} - \frac{2C\nu_1s_1s_2(s_1s_2'-s_2s_1')}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2} \\ &-\widetilde{\Lambda}(\eta^{ab}s_as_b+1)\Big]\,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

Here we have changed the sign of (C.8), except for the terms which include u_a^2/s_a^2 so as to reproduce the same equations of motion for s_a. As a result, the Lagrangian (C.9) can be regarded as a deformed NR system. When $C=0$, this system reduces to the usual NR system [42].

Virasoro constraints

Finally, we shall comment on the Virasoro constraints. These become

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{s_0'^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} + s_0^2\nu_0^2 + \frac{u_0^2}{s_0^2} = &\frac{s_1'^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} + \frac{(1+C^2s_0^2)s_1^2\nu_1^2}{(1+C^2s_0^2)^2+C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2} \\ &+\Big(1+C^2s_0^2+\frac{C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2}{1+C^2s_0^2}\Big)\frac{u_1^2}{s_1^2} + \frac{s_2'^2+s_2^2\nu_2^2}{1+C^2s_0^2} + (1+C^2s_0^2)\frac{u_2^2}{s_2^2}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

$$0 = \eta^{ab}\nu_a u_b \,. \tag{C.11}$$

From the periodicity conditions for $\beta_a(\sigma)$, one can obtain the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi k_1 &= u_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{s_1^2(\sigma)} \Big(1 + C^2 s_0^2 + \frac{C^2(s_1^2+s_2^2)s_2^2}{1+C^2 s_0^2}\Big) \,, \\ 2\pi k_2 &= u_2 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{s_2^2(\sigma)} (1 + C^2 s_0^2) \,, \\ 0 &= u_0 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{s_0^2(\sigma)} \,. \end{aligned}$$

It is necessary to set $u_0 = 0$ so that t should be single valued. When $C = 0$, these constraints lead to the ones for the undeformed case [42].

C.3 A deformed AdS_3 subspace

For simplicity, we will focus upon a deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_3 \times \mathrm{S}^1$ subsector of the q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ by imposing the following condition,

$$s_2 = 0 \,. \tag{C.12}$$

Then the effective Lagrangian for s_0 and s_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathrm{AdS}_3} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2} \Big[&- \frac{s_0'^2}{1+C^2 s_0^2} + s_0^2 \nu_0^2 + \frac{u_0^2}{s_0^2} + \frac{s_1'^2}{1+C^2(1+s_1^2)} - \frac{s_1^2 \nu_1^2}{1+C^2(1+s_1^2)} \\ &- \big(1 + C^2(1+s_1^2)\big) \frac{u_1^2}{s_1^2} - \widetilde{\Lambda}(-s_0^2 + s_1^2 + 1) \Big] \,, \end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

where the remaining two constants u_a are

$$u_0 = s_0^2 \beta_0' = 0 \,, \qquad u_1 = \frac{s_1^2 \beta_1'}{1 + C^2(1+s_1^2)} = \text{const} \,. \tag{C.14}$$

Virasoro constraints

It is turn to see the Virasoro constraints for the subsector. By adding an angle ϕ, which describes S^1,

$$\phi = \omega_3 \tau + m_3 \sigma \qquad\qquad (m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}) \,, \tag{C.15}$$

the Virasoro constraints are recast into the form,

$$\frac{s_0'^2}{1+C^2 s_0^2} + s_0^2 \nu_0^2 = \frac{s_1'^2}{1+C^2(1+s_1^2)} + \frac{s_1^2 \nu_1^2}{1+C^2(1+s_1^2)} + \big(1 + C^2(1+s_1^2)\big) \frac{u_1^2}{s_1^2} + \omega_3^2 + m_3^2 \,,$$

$$0 = \nu_1 u_1 + \omega_3 m_3 \,. \tag{C.16}$$

The periodicity condition for $\beta_1(\sigma)$ is given by

$$2\pi k_1 = u_1 \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{s_1^2(\sigma)} \big(1 + C^2(1+s_1^2)\big) \,. \tag{C.17}$$

A canonical form

Finally, let us rewrite the effective Lagrangian (C.13) into the canonical form.

It is convenient to introduce the following new variables:

$$\frac{s_0'}{\sqrt{1+C^2 s_0^2}} \equiv y_0' \,, \qquad \frac{s_1'}{\sqrt{1+C^2(1+s_1^2)}} \equiv y_1' \,,$$

with the constraint $s_0^2 - s_1^2 = 1$. Integrating them leads to the following relations

$$s_0 = \frac{1}{C} \sinh(C y_0) \,, \qquad s_1 = \frac{\sqrt{1+C^2}}{C} \sinh(C y_1) \,. \tag{C.18}$$

Then, after putting (C.18) into (C.13), the resulting Lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathrm{AdS}^3} = \frac{T_{(C)}}{2} \Big[-y_0'^2 + \frac{\nu_0^2}{C^2} \sinh^2(C y_0) + y_1'^2 - \frac{\nu_1^2}{C^2} \tanh^2(C y_1) - \frac{C^2 u_1^2}{\tanh^2(C y_1)} \\ - \frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}}{C^2} \big(-\sinh^2(C y_0) + (1+C^2) \sinh^2(C y_1) - C^2 \big) \Big] \,. \end{aligned} \tag{C.19}$$

The potential terms are of hyperbolic type. Taking the $C \to 0$ limit, the Lagrangian (C.19) is reduced to the usual NR system [42].

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113]. [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[2] N. Beisert *et al.*, "Review of AdS/CFT Integrability: An Overview," [arXiv:1012.3982 [hep-th]].

[3] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, "Type IIB superstring action in $\mathrm{AdS}_5 \times \mathrm{S}^5$ background," Nucl. Phys. B **533** (1998) 109 [hep-th/9805028].

[4] I. Bena, J. Polchinski and R. Roiban, "Hidden symmetries of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 046002 [hep-th/0305116].

[5] R. Roiban and W. Siegel, "Superstrings on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ supertwistor space," JHEP **0011** (2000) 024 [hep-th/0010104].

[6] M. Hatsuda and K. Yoshida, "Classical integrability and super Yangian of superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5$," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **9** (2005) 703 [hep-th/0407044]; "Super Yangian of superstring on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ revisited," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15** (2011) 1485 [arXiv:1107.4673 [hep-th]].

[7] K. Zarembo, "Strings on semisymmetric superspaces," JHEP **1005** (2010) 002 [arXiv:1003.0465 [hep-th]]; L. Wulff, "Superisometries and integrability of superstrings," [arXiv:1402.3122 [hep-th]].

[8] C. Klimcik, "Yang-Baxter sigma models and dS/AdS T duality," JHEP **0212** (2002) 051 [hep-th/0210095]; "On integrability of the Yang-Baxter sigma-model," J. Math. Phys. **50** (2009) 043508 [arXiv:0802.3518 [hep-th]]; "Integrability of the bi-Yang-Baxter sigma model," [arXiv:1402.2105 [math-ph]]; R. Squellari, "Yang-Baxter σ model: Quantum aspects," Nucl. Phys. B **881** (2014) 502 [arXiv:1401.3197 [hep-th]].

[9] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "On classical q-deformations of integrable σ-models," JHEP **1311** (2013) 192 [arXiv:1308.3581 [hep-th]].

[10] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Hybrid classical integrability in squashed sigma models," Phys. Lett. B **705** (2011) 251 [arXiv:1107.3662 [hep-th]]; "Hybrid classical integrable structure of squashed sigma models: A short summary," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **343** (2012) 012055 [arXiv:1110.6748 [hep-th]]; "Hidden Yangian symmetry in sigma model on squashed sphere," JHEP **1011** (2010) 032. [arXiv:1008.0776 [hep-th]].

[11] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "The classical origin of quantum affine algebra in squashed sigma models," JHEP **1204** (2012) 115 [arXiv:1201.3058 [hep-th]]; "On the classical equivalence of monodromy matrices in squashed sigma model," JHEP **1206** (2012) 082 [arXiv:1203.3400 [hep-th]].

[12] I. Kawaguchi, D. Orlando and K. Yoshida, "Yangian symmetry in deformed WZNW models on squashed spheres," Phys. Lett. B **701** (2011) 475. [arXiv:1104.0738 [hep-th]];

I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "A deformation of quantum affine algebra in squashed WZNW models," to appear in J. Math. Phys. [arXiv:1311.4696 [hep-th]].

[13] I. Kawaguchi and K. Yoshida, "Classical integrability of Schrodinger sigma models and q-deformed Poincare symmetry," JHEP **1111** (2011) 094 [arXiv:1109.0872 [hep-th]]; "Exotic symmetry and monodromy equivalence in Schrodinger sigma models," JHEP **1302** (2013) 024 [arXiv:1209.4147 [hep-th]]; I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Schroedinger sigma models and Jordanian twists," JHEP **1308** (2013) 013 [arXiv:1305.6556 [hep-th]].

[14] T. J. Hollowood, J. L. Miramontes and D. M. Schmidtt, "Integrable Deformations of Strings on Symmetric Spaces," [arXiv:1407.2840 [hep-th]].

[15] F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "An integrable deformation of the $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring action," Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 051601 [arXiv:1309.5850 [hep-th]]; F. Delduc, M. Magro and B. Vicedo, "Derivation of the action and symmetries of the q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstring," [arXiv:1406.6286 [hep-th]].

[16] G. Arutyunov, R. Borsato and S. Frolov, "S-matrix for strings on η-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$," JHEP **1404** (2014) 002 [arXiv:1312.3542 [hep-th]].

[17] B. Hoare, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, "On deformations of $\mathrm{AdS}_n\times\mathrm{S}^n$ supercosets," [arXiv:1403.5517 [hep-th]].

[18] G. Arutynov, M. de Leeuw and S. J. van Tongeren, "On the exact spectrum and mirror duality of the $(\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5)_\eta$ superstring," [arXiv:1403.6104 [hep-th]].

[19] G. Arutyunov and S. J. van Tongeren, "The $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ mirror model as a string," [arXiv:1406.2304 [hep-th]].

[20] T. Kameyama and K. Yoshida, "Anisotropic Landau-Lifshitz sigma models from q-deformed $\mathrm{AdS}_5\times\mathrm{S}^5$ superstrings," [arXiv:1405.4467 [hep-th]]; "String theories on warped AdS backgrounds and integrable deformations of spin chains," JHEP **1305** (2013) 146 [arXiv:1304.1286 [hep-th]].

[21] D. M. Hofman and J. M. Maldacena, "Giant Magnons," J. Phys. A **39** (2006) 13095 [hep-th/0604135].

[22] M. Khouchen and J. Kluson, "Giant Magnon on Deformed $AdS_3 \times S^3$," [arXiv:1405.5017 [hep-th]].

[23] C. Ahn and P. Bozhilov, "Finite-size giant magnons on η-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$," arXiv:1406.0628 [hep-th].

[24] A. Banerjee and K. L. Panigrahi, "On the Rotating and Oscillating strings in $(AdS_3 \times S^3)_{\varkappa}$," [arXiv:1406.3642 [hep-th]].

[25] S. Frolov, talk delivered at Integrability in Gauge and String Theory (IGST2014).

[26] G. Arutyunov and D. Medina-Rincon, "Deformed Neumann model from spinning strings on $(AdS_5 \times S^5)_{\eta}$," [arXiv:1406.2536 [hep-th]].

[27] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Jordanian deformations of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring," JHEP **1404** (2014) 153 [arXiv:1401.4855 [hep-th]].

[28] I. Kawaguchi, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "A Jordanian deformation of AdS space in type IIB supergravity," [arXiv:1402.6147 [hep-th]].

[29] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds from the classical Yang-Baxter equation – Towards the gravity/CYBE correspondence," [arXiv:1404.1838 [hep-th]].

[30] T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Integrability of classical strings dual for noncommutative gauge theories," [arXiv:1404.3657 [hep-th]].

[31] P. M. Crichigno, T. Matsumoto and K. Yoshida, "Deformations of $T^{1,1}$ as Yang-Baxter sigma models," arXiv:1406.2249 [hep-th].

[32] O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, "Deforming field theories with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals," JHEP **0505** (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086].

[33] S. Frolov, "Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background," JHEP **0505** (2005) 069 [hep-th/0503201].

[34] A. Hashimoto and N. Itzhaki, "Noncommutative Yang-Mills and the AdS / CFT correspondence," Phys. Lett. B **465** (1999) 142 [hep-th/9907166].

[35] J. M. Maldacena and J. G. Russo, "Large N limit of noncommutative gauge theories," JHEP **9909** (1999) 025 [hep-th/9908134].

[36] D. Dhokarh, S. S. Haque and A. Hashimoto, "Melvin Twists of global $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and their Non-Commutative Field Theory Dual," JHEP **0808** (2008) 084 [arXiv:0801.3812 [hep-th]]; T. McLoughlin and I. Swanson, "Integrable twists in AdS/CFT," JHEP **0608** (2006) 084 [hep-th/0605018].

[37] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "A Semiclassical limit of the gauge / string correspondence," Nucl. Phys. B **636** (2002) 99 [hep-th/0204051].

[38] S. -J. Rey and J. -T. Yee, "Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity," Eur. Phys. J. C **22** (2001) 379 [hep-th/9803001]; J. M. Maldacena, "Wilson loops in large N field theories," Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 4859 [hep-th/9803002].

[39] N. Drukker and S. Kawamoto, "Small deformations of supersymmetric Wilson loops and open spin-chains," JHEP **0607** (2006) 024 [hep-th/0604124].

[40] T. Kameyama and K. Yoshida, "Minimal surfaces in q-deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ string with Poincare coordinates," arXiv:1410.5544 [hep-th].

[41] N. Drukker, D. J. Gross and H. Ooguri, "Wilson loops and minimal surfaces," Phys. Rev. D **60** (1999) 125006 [hep-th/9904191].

[42] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, "Spinning strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and integrable systems," Nucl. Phys. B **671** (2003) 3 [hep-th/0307191]; G. Arutyunov, J. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, "Spinning strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$: New integrable system relations," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 086009 [hep-th/0311004].

[43] G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov and M. Zamaklar, "Finite-size Effects from Giant Magnons," Nucl. Phys. B **778** (2007) 1 [hep-th/0606126].